Martin Penney's motivation and alleged involvement in damaging Ps Leo's reputation:

FORM 14 Rule 162

AFFIDAVIT OF MARTIN RON PENNEY

I, MARTIN RON PENNEY of 3 London Road, Aberfoyle Park SA 5159, Professional

Painter, TAKE AN OATH AND SAY as follows:-

Note: Para 2 – A \$2.2 million benefit to

Penney?

is the plaintiff)

Urgency

Para 3 demonstrates a direct conflict with the sworn affidavit by Neil Russell Taylor stating on 22 April 2010 Penney told him SAPOL and the AFP were investigating Ps Leo (defendant in this matter where Penney

 I am the first plaintiff. I am authorised to swea second plaintiff.

- 2. I swear this affidavit in support of an Application for urgent interlocutory reflet to protect an amount of \$2.2 million being the combined value of:-
 - 2.1 Money, plant and equipment and a motor vehicle that I have paid or otherwise transferred to the defendants in the circumstances set out below; and
 - 2.2 Additional damages in respect of company losses and my own personal labour which I supplied to the church in the amount of \$1.2 million.
- Jave become aware from newspaper and television reports and also from information supplied by my solicitor Mr Armour all of which I verily believe to be true that the defendants have been the subject of intense police activity, that they appear to have left Australia and that it is unlikely they will return. Now produced to me marked "MRP-1" are true copies of newspaper articles that appeared in The Advertiser Friday, 21 May and Saturday, 22 May 2010 respectively and the Sunday Mail, 23 May 2010.
- 4. I am concerned that whilst overseas the defendants will, unless restrained by this Honourable Court, electronically access bank accounts in Australia and take steps to transfer bank funds and may arrange for the sale of real estate and other assets. If this happens I believe that I will be left without a remedy.

50/2/10

A

Note: Para 6 is in direct conflict with the sworn affidavit by Martin Penney on 26 May 2010, ref Para 3 of Penney's affidavit.

AFFIDAVIT of Neil Matthews Russell-Taylor

I Neil Matthews Russell-Taylor, 22 Yatina Road Aldgate, in the Province of South Australia,
 Quarry Consultant, TAKE AN OATH AND SAY:-

I swear this affidavit in support of evidence I will submit to any court in the Province of
 South Australia and or the Commonwealth of Australia in regard to matters relating to
 Rocco Leo.

MEETING WITH MARTIN PENNEY

 On or about 22nd April 2010 I received a phone-call from Martin Penney, and he asked if he could visit me and 'have a chat'. I agreed and I think it was early afternoon when this happened.

3. I had met Martin in 2007 when I was interested in the word of God and had meetings with Rocco Leo. At the first meeting with Martin, in the Butterflies Café, Rocco Leo asked me to come with him to a Christian bookshop in the northwest part of Adelaide. Martin drove me there in his car talking very highly of Rocco Leo. When we arrived at the Christian bookstore Rocco Leo selected a New Kings James Version of the Holy Bible and another book together with a music CD. At the checkout, Martin insisted on purchasing these items as a gift to Rocco Leo who immediately gave them to me.

 Just before Martin arrived at my house my brother called and wanted to drop by and have a coffee. My brother - Robert John Taylor - is a retired sergeant from the South Australian Police Service. I considered this providence and asked him to immediately come to my house.

5. When Martin arrived at my house he stated that he wanted to talk about Rocco Leo and the Agape Church and wanted to warn me. I was aware that he initially acted in a hesitant manner and seemed a touch uneasy, which I perceived odd as Martin had always appeared to me as a very confident well presented and an honest person. Nevertheless I directed Martin to walk down stairs into the open garden of the property and sat him at a garden table.

6. As we drank coffee Martin asked me if I had seen Rocco Leo recently. I stated that I had not seen him for about 6 or more months. Martin then stated that Rocco's world is about to come down on him and that of a few others and that the police knew all about him.

PEI HONG SUN Justice of the Peace for South Australia

ID: 31071 Ph: 08 8223 6677 265-267 Halifax St, Adelaide



- 7. Martin then continued and stated that Rocco Leo was not all that people had believed him to be and also the stated many things that were somewhat challenging to me at the time.
 - 8. Martin stated that he, Rocco Leo, had proclaimed on many occasions that people had been miraculously healed by him and them challenged me to state if I had ever seen a person healed by him. He referred to a woman that I could not remember clearly, that had placed her trust in Rocco and was not healed of her affliction - I think her problem was relating to a spinal disease that had debilitated her legs.
 - 9. Martin also stated that he had once stumbled in on Rocco Leo who was with Mari Veneziano and indicated that they were having an affair.
 - 10. I understood that both Joe and Mari Veneziano were devoted Christians who had dedicated there lives to God and decided to serve God under the guidance of Rocco Leo.
 - 11. At this stage Martin stated that he felt sorry for Rocco's wife and children for the un-Christian behaviour of Rocco in this affair with Mari, and asked me if I was aware of this relationship. I stated that I was not aware of any such relationship.
 - 12. My brother then arrived and I introduced Martin to Robert and stated that Martin was here to talk to me about Rocco Leo and the Agape Church and that Martin could speak openly with Robert as I trusted him. Robert had a drink with us in the garden of our property and participated in the discussion asking Martin many questions during the afternoon meeting.
 - 13. Martin then stated that he had been approached by the police (I was initially unsure if he meant the Federal Police or the South Australian Police Service - SAPOL) and that they were aware of the guns and lots of rounds of ammunition.
 - 14. I was informed by Martin that there were other matters that the police were aware of that would soon be raised against Rocco Leo and the Agape Church.
 - 15. Martin stated that a member of government from a South Pacific nation that had been approached by Rocco Leo and that Rocco had tried to purchase an island. Martin stated that Rocco had used a document that I had given him stating that I was 'giving' Rocco the mining leases and that there were valuable minerals on this land and that this was the collateral that Rocco had attempted to used.
 - 16. At this stage I believed the meeting was most probably being recorded, as the questioning was targeted and Martin appeared merely acting as an intermediary for the Federal Police. (I knew this to be so as the Federal Police had interrogated a friend of mine some years ago while my friend was recovering from a bypass heart operation. The line of questioning to my friend was related to my political and legal views of the Australian Taxation Office and my connection to people both in Australia and aboard in relation to rectifying the Australian Constitution.)
 - 17. I answered Martin's question and stated that some time ago, while I was involved in my legal challenge against the State Government, the Premier and the Minister of Resources - Paul Hollaway, that there had been two attempts on my life.

265-267 Halifax St, Adelaide

9.02.0

48

49

50

1

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

PEI HONG SUN ID: 31071 Ph: 08 8223 6677 Note: Details blacked out in this version of ATO assets worksheet for Agape Ministries International and Ps Rocco Leo et al

Name	Assets	Current Market Value		Comments
gape Ministries International eld by Trustees	102-132 Hilltop Drive, Oakden SA 5086	\$1,325,000.00	Exempt	Odinina
Rocco Leo and Assunta Leo	Kuitpo Colony, Blackfellows Creek Road, Mt Magnificent SA 5210	\$425,000,00		
otal		\$1,750,000.00	~ 4	
Rocco Leo	10 Greig Street, Sunshine VIC 3020	\$650,000.00	The property was purchased in Rocco Leo's	of \$250 000 00
	3 Oslo Way, Keilor Downs VIC 3038	\$535,500 in 2006	This property was purchased in Rocco Leo's of \$535,500 BD we do not have a current ma	sole name in 2006 the the price
	WestpacBank a/c # 035-046 312536	\$468,809.04		- FROZEN
	Westpac eSaver account # 035046 312528	\$23,660.95		FROZEN
	Westpac Bank a/c # 035-052 223513	0.00		FROZEN
Total		\$1,277,978.99	1	
-		All De	7	
Rocco Leo and Assunta Leo	25 Hart Street, Campbelltown SA 5074	\$700,000,00		ce. Purchased in the joint names
Total		\$700,000,00	or reads and result	11a CGO III 1905 IDI \$122,000.00
	(D)			
Joe Veneziano	Westpac Reward Saver a/c # 03504e 305600	\$2,316,67		FROZEN
Total		\$2,316.67		
xempt				
ASHIP!				

Name	Assets	Current Market Value	Comment		
Rocco Leo and Assunta Leo	102-132 Hilltop Drive, Oakden SA 5086	\$1,325,000.00	Martin Penney a Police Informant stated in his statement that the Ministry purchased the Oakden property in or about 1997 or 199		
	Kultpo Colony, Blackfellows Creek Road, Mt Magnifloent SA 5210	\$425,000.00	Martin Penney also stated in his statement to the Police that the Ministry purchased the Kultpo property around year 2000 and members were led to believe that it was for disadvantaged people to re-establish their liver		
	ed in this version of the same ATG s International and Ps Rocco Leo		Title searches showed that it was purchaser by cash for \$250,000.00 Transfer of land was dated 27 June 2007		
document was suppl	lied by the ATO to Ps Leo's lawys ments were provided.		This is Assunta Leo's principal place of residence. Purchased in the joint name of Rocco Leo and Assunta Leo in 1985 for \$122,000.00		

Rocco Leo	10 Greig Street, Sunshine VIC 3020	\$650,000.00	This property was purchased in Rocco Leo's sole name in 2005 the the price of \$250,000.00
	3 Oslo Way, Keilor Downs VIC 3038	\$535,500.00 in 2006	This property was purchased in Rocco Leo's sole name in 2006 the the price of \$535,500.00 we do not have a current market value for this property either
	WestpacBank a/c # 035-046 312536	\$468,809.04	FROZEN
×	Westpac eSaver account # 035046 312528	\$23,669.95	FROZEN
y.	Westpac Bank a/c # 035-052 223513	\$0.00	FROZEN
Total		\$1,677,478.99	

Joe Veneziano	Westpac Reward Saver a/c # 035046 305600	\$2,316.67	FROZEN
Total		\$2,316.67	

Universal Holdings	6 Greig Street, Sunshine VIC 3020	\$106,670.00 in 2005	Universal Holdings Australia holds 1/3 interest in this property which was
Australia Pty Ltd	E		purchased in 2005 for \$320,000.00. We do not have a current market value
	423 Ballarat Rd, Sunshine VIC 3020	\$81,666.67 in 1994	Universal Holdings Australia holds 1/3 Interest in this property which was
			purchased in 1994 for \$245,000.00. We do not have a current market value
	CBA a/c# 065150 10411383	\$66,738.48	FROZEN

PRIN1198

SOUTH AUSTRALIA POLICE DEPARTMENT POLICE INCIDENT REPORT

	L/X318	97					Run Ti		10:1	7
Rebert 100	Submi	tted B	y I.D.	3459/7			Page		2	
			•••••			•••••			•••••	
	*****	•••••		9330	LATIVE	********			*****	
				1.577.5						
Exempt		22,110,53	2 16/15/00	010			Congress of	3.11		1122
						THE N	7			<u> </u>
Exempt	745	- 11-1		h14						about
this and to that would										
church and				race met	nog. The	coe coar	JEA MOR	ra o	2 9000	rom the
CAULCH MIG	DOC 10									
Exempt then	proce	eded to	o atve B	OCO mone	T OR 8 TO	emular has	te ra h	110	-he	
Exempt £		Exemp	11	- that c		south per	Los Even	arb.	Tan-	
\$195,750 fo								1240	KDCO	LABO
\$193,730 10		Parbo	e or gr	TANK LD	castant					
Exempt has	-ince	At som	vered th	at the	xemot	family on	1st			
								vea	A	111
amount of the	nis sc	may as	no chac	MORE OF	TE MEN X	DE DY ROO	o LEO.			
***************************************								_		
							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
				WITHER	B/SUSPECT					277
-										
e: The above	inform	nation a	appears t	o be the s	ame data i	as can be f	ound in			
ney's second	v					•			. 5	-
nev s secona			ım agaın:	si i s Leo	- rejer io	nexi bage -		1-		empt
•			_		D		arı		_	
•			_		District Co		an		. =	
act from said	secon		_		District Co		an		_	
•	secon		_		District Co		un		_	
act from said	secon		_		District Co		un			
act from said	secon		_		District Co		un			
act from said	secon	d claim	_		District Co		un			
act from said	secon	d claim	ı submitt		District Co		un			
act from said	secon	d clain	ı submitt	ed to the	District Co		• •	ED :		YE: **
act from said	l secon	d claim	ı submitt	ed to the	District Co		. MYNL	10000	-	Y85 **
act from said	l secon	d claim	n submitt	ed to the		ourt of SA.	WANT	DOB:	••	
act from said	l secon	d claim	n submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/	ourt of SA.	WANT	DOB:	••	
OCCUPACION OCCUPA	secon	d claim	n submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/ n 88 5074	ourt of SA.	WANT	DOB:	••	
SUSPECT NO NAME ALIAS HORE ADORS TORE ADORS	secon	d claim RO, Ro D SUBP ROMO, RIB S Bart	submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/ n 88 5074	ourt of SA.	WANT	DOB:	••	
SUSPECT EO NAME ALITAS HORS ADORS	secon	d claim RO, Ro D SUBP ROMO, RIB S Bart	submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/ n 88 5074	ourt of SA.	WANT	DOB:	••	
BUBBECT NO NAME ALITAS HORE ADORS HORE ADORS	secon	d claim RO, Ro D SUBP ROMO, RIB S Bart	submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/ n 88 5074	ourt of SA.	WANT	DOB:	••	
SUSPECT NO NAMES ALTAS HORE ADORS FOR ADORS Occupation	secon 1 001 1 1 1 3 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1	BO, Ro D SUBP MUNO, DALB S BART GAPE C	submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/ n 88 5074	ourt of SA.	WANT	DOB:	••	
SUBJECT NO NAME ALIAS HORE ADORS TORK ADORS OCCUPATION	secon 1 001 1 1 1 3 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1	d claim RO, Ro D SUBP ROMO, RIB S Bart	n submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/ n SA 5074 Dr Cakden	1956	WANT	DOB:	••	
SUSPECT NO NAMES ALTAS HOME ADORD FOR ADORD OCCUPATION	secon	BO, Ro D SUBP MUNO, DALB S BART GAPE C	n submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/ n SA 5074 Dr Cakden	1956	WANT ALIAS	DOB:	: 31	.4 Trs.
COUPACION	secon	EO, RO D SUBP RUMO, DALB S BART GAPE C INISTE	n submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/ n 88 5074	1956	WANT ALIAS	DOB:	••	.4 Trs.
COURTER NO NAME ADDRESS DESIGNATE NOME ADDRESS DESIGNATE NOME ADDRESS DESIGNATE NAME NAME ADDRESS DESIGNATE NAME NAME NAME NAME NAME NAME NAME NAM	secon 1 001 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	EO, RO D SUBP RUMO, DALB S BART GAPE C INISTE	n submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/ n SA 5074 Dr Cakden	1956	WANT ALIAS	DOB:	: 31	.4 Tre.
SUSPECT NO NAME ADDRESS DESCRIPTION NAME ADDRESS DESCRIPTION NAME NOME ADDRESS NOME ADDRESS NOME ADDRESS NOME ADDRESS	second se	EO, RO D SUBP RUMO, DALB S BART GAPE C INISTE	n submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/ n SA 5074 Dr Cakden	1956 2A 5086	WANT ALIAS	DOB:	: 31	.4 Trs.
COURTER NO NAME ADDRESS DESIGNATE NOME ADDRESS DESIGNATE NOME ADDRESS DESIGNATE NAME	secon ; 001 ; 001 ; 3 ; 3 ; 3 ; 3 ; 3 ; 3 ; 3 ; 3	EO, RO D SUBP RUMO, DALB S BART GAPE C INISTE	n submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/ n SA 5074 Dr Cakden	1956	WANT ALIAS	DOB:	: 31	.4 Tre.
SUSPECT NO NAME ALIAS HORE ADORS OCCUPATION HORE ADORS HORE ADORS HORE TEL/N WORE TEL/N	secon ; 001 ; 001 ; 3 ; 3 ; 3 ; 3 ; 3 ; 3 ; 3 ; 3	EO, RO D SUBP RUMO, DALB S BART GAPE C INISTE	n submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/ n SA 5074 Dr Cakden	1956 2A 5086	WANT ALIAS	DOB:	: 31	.4 Tes.
SUSPECT NO NAME ALIAS HORE ADORS OCCUPATION HORE ADORS HORE ADORS HORE TEL/N WORE TEL/N	second se	EO, Ro D SUBP ROMO, DALB 5 Bart GAPE C INISTE Xempt Xempt	n submitte	ed to the	: 29/04/ n SA 5074 Dr Cakden	1956 2A 5086	WANT ALIAS	DOB:	: 31	.4 Tes.
SUSPECT NO NAME ALIAS HORE ADDRES OCCUPATION HORE ADDRES HORE ADDRES HORE ADDRES HORE ADDRES HORE TEL/N OCCUPATION	second se	EO, RO D SUBP RUMO, DALB S BART GAPE C INISTE	Roco Les Burch 1	ed to the	: 29/04/ n SA 5074 Dr Oakden	1956 2A 5086	WANT ALIAS	DOB:	: 31	.4 Tes.
SUSPECT NO NAME ALIAS HORE ADDRES OCCUPATION HAND HOME ADDRES HOME ADDRES HOME ADDRES HOME ADDRES HOME ADDRES HOME ADDRES HOME TEL/N	second se	A claim BO, RO B USP BUSP S BAFE C INISTE Xempt Xempt	Roco Les Burch 1	ed to the	: 29/04/ n SA 5074 Dr Oakden	1956 2A 5086	WANT ALIAS	Age Age	: Ex	empt
SUSPECT NO NAME ALIAS HOME ADDRES HOME ADDRES HOME ADDRES HOME ADDRES HOME ADDRES HOME ADDRES HOME TEL/M OCCUPATION HAME	second : 001	A claim BO, Ro D SUBP RUNO, BAJE S BATT GAFE C IMISTE Xempt Xempt Xempt	Roco Les Burch 1	ed to the	: 29/04/ n SA 5074 Dr Cakden	1956 2A 5086	WANT ALIAS	DOB:	: Ex	.4 Tes.
SUSPECT NO NAME ALIAS HORE ADORS FORE ADORS HORE ADORS HORE ADORS HORE TEL/N OCCUPATION CITNESS DETA HARE HORE TEL/N OCCUPATION HARE HORE ADDRES HARE HORE ADDRES HARE	second se	A claim BO, Ro D SUBP RUNO, BAJE S BATT GAFE C IMISTE Xempt Xempt Xempt	Roco Les Burch 1	ed to the	: 29/04/ n SA 5074 Dr Oakden	1956 2A 5086	WANT ALIAS	Age Age	: Ex	empt
COCUPACION COCUPACION ROBERCT NO NAME ALIAS HOME ADDRES HOME ADDRES HOME ADDRES HOME TEL/M COCUPACION CITHESS DETA HAME	second se	A claim BO, Ro D SUBP RUNO, BAJE S BATT GAFE C IMISTE Xempt Xempt Xempt	Roco Les Burch 1	ed to the	: 29/04/ n SA 5074 Dr Oakden	1956 2A 5086	WANT ALIAS	Age Age	: Ex	empt

Note: The above information appears to be the same data as can be found in Penney's second (revised) claim against Ps Leo – refer to next page – an extract from said second claim submitted to the District Court of SA.

The Spartalia Representation

- 7. In or about 2003, the plaintiff became aware from Bettina Oddwell that a fellow parishioner of Agano Ministries International known to the plaintiff as Poppy Spartalis, was "sick" but otherwise unaware of the nature of her illness, subsequent to which the plaintiff gave Michael Spartalis, husband of the said Poppy Spartalis, \$2,000.00 by way of a cift to assist with their financial circumstances.
- 8. From the time pleaded in paragraph 7 until in or about 2006, whilst the plaintiffs had a general knowledge about the said Poppy Spartalis not being well, it was in or about 2006, that the first defendant told the plaintiff that the first defendant was paying all of the bills and expenses of the Spartalis family which was costing the first defendant "thousands of dollars" and that if the plaintiff wanted to help, the plaintiff should do so but in doing so, being mindful of The Bible Matthew Chapter 6 Verse 3 which states but when you do a charitable deed, do not let your left hand know what your right hand is doing." The first defendant said to the first plaintiff that a way to achieve compliance with the said quotation was for the first plaintiff to pay any money he wanted to give to the Spartalia family to the first defendant by depositing the same in the Account in order that no one, including the Spartalis family, would be aware from where the money had come. The first defendant subsequently said on numerous occasions (the precise dates of which the plaintiff is unable to specify but shortly after the payments were made) that he acknowledged the deposits the first

FORM 17 Rule 132

ELECTRONIC NON-CONTENTIOUS APPLICATION AND MINUTES

Ex parte

Action Title:

Martin Ron Penney and Mattena Corporation Pty Ltd v Rocco Leo, Joe Veneziano and Mari-Antoinette Veneziano

Action No:

936 of 2010

Applicant:

First, Second and Third Defendants

Date of application:

7 May 2012

- The applicants seek the following specific orders/directions:
 - 1.1 That the order made by this Honourable Court on 7 July 2010 freezing the assets of the defendants be discharged.
- 2. The grounds/reasons for the orders/directions sought are:
 - 2.1 The proceedings have resolved pursuant to a Deed of Release and Settlement and upon the making of this order shall be mutually discontinued with no order as to costs.
- The consent is evidenced by:
 - 3.1 Email from the solicitors for the plaintiff dated 7 May 2012

ORDER MADE:

4.1

Note: The above details indicated the plaintiff chase to withdraw his claim. It was submitted just after said plaintiff viewed the defendants' discovery documents for this matter at the office of the defendants' lawyer. Amongst these documents was the ATO worksheet obtained by the defendants' lawyers in September 2011. Said worksheet (on previous page) revealed the plaintiff's involvement with SAPOL (SA Police) as an informant. Upon viewing this document, Penney instructed his lawyer to withdraw his claim. Penney also immediately informed the defendants' lawyer of his change of mind. See below for terms and conditions of said claim withdrawal.

Date: 7 May 2012

- 2.5. a reference to one gender includes the other gender;
- 2.6. a reference to '\$' is a reference to Australia dollars:
- 2.7. a reference to any party to this Deed or any other deed or document includes that party's heirs, successors and permitted assigns;
- 2.8. the clause and sub-clause headings are for convenience reference only and have no effect in limiting or extending the language of the provisions to which they refer;
- 2.9. "Plaintiffs" shall mean each plaintiff in the Proceedings jointly and severally together with their respective heirs, executors, administrators and assigns as the case may be;
- 2.10. An obligation applicable to the Plaintiffs shall be an obligation on each plaintiff in the proceedings individually and enforceable against each such plaintiff individually;
- 2.11. "Defendants" shall mean each defendant in the Proceedings jointly and severally together with their respective heirs, executors, administrators and assigns as the case may be;
- 2.12. An obligation applicable to the Defendants shall be an obligation on each defendant in the proceedings individually and enforceable against each such defendant individually; and
- 2.13. This Deed is written in plain English as far as possible. Its terms are to be interpreted so as to give efficacy to the parties' agreement. No rule resolving a doubt as to interpretation against the party preparing this Deed will apply. The specific provisions will not limit the interpretation of general provisions.

3. Settlement

- 3.1. In full and final satisfaction of the Proceedings and Counterclaim the Plaintiffs agree to discontinue the Proceedings with no order as to costs and the Defendants agree to discontinue the Counterclaim with no order as to cost;
- 3.2. To effect the settlement:-
 - 3.2.1. Within 7 days of the last party to execute the Deed the Plaintiffs shall provide their consent to the Defendants filing an e-application together with draft minutes of order with the District Court Registry in accordance with "Annexure A" to this Deed ("draft orders").

Note: Item 3 – settlement – No financial settlement for the plaintiff. No order for costs (ie each party to pay their own costs. No confidentiality clause